

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

16 JUAN RODRIGUEZ-MORENO,

O R D E R
Civ. No. 08-493-TC

17 Petitioner,

18 vs.

19 STATE OF OREGON,

20 Respondent.
21

22 AIKEN, Chief Judge:


23 Magistrate Judge Coffin filed his Findings and
24 Recommendation on November 15, 2011. The matter is now before me
25 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).
26 When a party objects to any portion of the Magistrate's Findings
27 and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo
28 determination of that portion of the Magistrate's report. 28

1 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore
2 Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert.
3 denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

4 Petitioner has timely filed objections. I have, therefore,
5 given the file of this case a de novo review. I ADOPT the
6 Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 53) and deny
7 petitioner's Petition for writ of habeas corpus and dismiss this
8 case.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated this 5th day of January 2012.

11
12
13
14 
15 Ann Aiken
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28